

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/538,201	BARSKE ET AL	
	Examiner SANDRA WEGERT	Art Unit 1646	

**All Participants:**

(1) SANDRA WEGERT

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Amy DeCloux

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2010

**Time:** 1 Hour

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

All pending. Also discussed enablement of antibodies when only 3 CDR's are specified.

**Claims discussed:**

All, including withdrawn claims. Applicant was reminded that withdrawn claims may be prosecuted in a divisional application.

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed claim amendments that would make the claims allowable. For example, claims based on the language of claim 21 are allowable because 6 CDR's are specified.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sandra Wegert 2/17/10

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)